## IN THE UNITED STATES DISRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA COLUMBIA DIVISION



			•
		Pm	Duff
Maurice Graves, 3208580 ) Petitioner, )	Civil Action No. 3:09-540-PMD	PATRICK MICHAEL DOFI	
		United States	District Judge
	February 24, 2010		S
v. )	Petitioner Motion Asking the Ju	deeston 🖺	SDC
	hear additional evidence not co		$\subseteq$
)	by the magistrate judge	nordered.	121-721 E
A.J. Padula, )	by the magnotique judge	2	<u> </u>
Respondent, )	•	2	
		•	
		U	
	•		<del>3</del>
I, the Pro Se petitioner	in the above case now "Motion ask	ing the	Ā
District Judge to hear addition	onal evidence not considered by the	e magi-	လ

In the "Report and Recommendation" dated filed 09-11-09 page 7 of 10, paragraph 3. The United States Magistrate Judge stated, "According to counsel, Graves gave no indication that an insanity defense was plausible."

strate judge:

Petitioner, would like to show the court that an insanity defense was plausible. And if the magistrate judge would of been aware of such evidence, petitioner believes that the magistrategjudge would have ruled in favor of the petitioner.

Petitioner will now ask the District Court to consider additional evidence from the trial transcript that may have not bee revealed to the magistrategiudge.

Again, petitioner believes that if all the evidence thats revealed to the District Judge, would have been reveal to the magistrate judge, then the outcome of the "Report and Recommendation would have been different.

Petitioner will now show the evidence that an insanity defense was plausible, if trial counsel would have investigated or taken interest in petitioner case. And not abandoned petitioner as a client.

See: (Trial transcript pg. 23 lines 19-20) (where officer Warren Lee Davis testified at trial that, "Applicant had on no shoes and shirt in officer Davis home of the night of the burglary.")

Also see: (Trial transcript pg. 39 lines 20-24) Cross examine by Trial Counsel Ms. Ferrari.